

REMARKS

Favorable reconsideration is respectfully requested.

The claims are 1 to 13.

The above amendment recites in claim 1 that the adhesive layer is re-separable.

New claim 12 is based on claims 5 and 6 and does not contain the feature of a re-separable adhesive layer.

Likewise with regard to new claim 13 which is based on claims 9 and 10.

The significance of the foregoing claims will become further apparent from a consideration of the remarks of June 14, 2002.


In particular, the Murakami reference makes no mention of a re-separable adhesive layer as presently recited, in addition to the other points set forth in the response of June 14.

Favorable action is now requested.

If the Examiner has any comments or proposals for expediting prosecution, please contact the undersigned at the telephone or facsimile number below.

Respectfully submitted,

Takuma HATTORI et al.

By: 
Matthew Jacob
Registration No. 25,154
Attorney for Applicants

MJ/pjm
Washington, D.C. 20006-1021
Telephone (202) 721-8200
Facsimile (202) 721-8250
July 12, 2002



VERSION WITH MARKINGS TO SHOW CHANGES MADE

IN THE CLAIMS:

Claim 1 has been amended as follows:

1. (Thrice Amended) An attachment film for an electronic display, which is for adjusting the quantity of transmitted light from a light source and adjusting the black and white contrast, which comprises an adhesive layer which is re-separable and contains carbon black dispersed therein and is formed on one surface of a transparent substrate.

0093 JUL 12 2002
14 15 17
DEPT. OF
COMMERCE